Adapted from the World Health Organization (WHO) Recommendations for clinical management of lead exposure.

## **Recommendations and grading**

The handout uses the GRADEPro guideline development tool evidence-to-decision tables (GRADEPro) to synthesize considerations and document the rationale behind the strength of the recommendations.

The strength of a recommendation reflects the confidence in the balance between the desirable and undesirable effects of adherence to the recommendation.

#### • Strong recommendations:

The guideline development group was confident that the desirable effects of following the recommendation outweigh the undesirable effects. These recommendations are intended for consistent application in most circumstances.

#### Conditional recommendations:

The desirable effects of adherence probably outweigh the undesirable effects, but there is uncertainty due to limitations in the evidence or context-specific factors.

#### • Certainty of evidence:

Certainty of evidence is assessed through a systematic review of available data and graded according to its quality. Certainty levels range from high (strong confidence in the evidence) to very low (significant uncertainty in the evidence). In cases where evidence is very low, expert judgment and contextual considerations play a critical role in shaping the recommendations.

## **Principles of treatment**

#### 1. Immediate action:

The single most important step in managing lead poisoning is identifying and stopping further exposure. This alone can lead to significant reductions in blood lead levels (BLL) and clinical improvement.

### 2. Approach to treatment:

#### Gastrointestinal decontamination:

Removal of ingested lead-containing materials to prevent absorption.

### • Chelation therapy:

Use of pharmaceuticals to bind and excrete lead when BLLs are significantly elevated or symptoms of toxicity are present.

# Gastrointestinal decontamination for lead ingestion

Gastrointestinal decontamination is recommended for patients with ingested lead-containing materials to prevent absorption and mitigate toxicity. The method chosen depends on the size, type, and location of the ingested material.

## Removal of solid lead objects in the stomach

- **Recommendation**: Solid lead objects, such as bullets, lead pellets, jewelry, or fishing weights, known to be in the stomach should be removed.
  - Methods: Esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) or surgery. Whole bowel irrigation (WBI) is an alternative if EGD or surgery is unavailable.
- **Rationale**: Lead dissolves in the acidic environment of the stomach, increasing the risk of toxicity. Case studies demonstrate that prompt removal reduces lead absorption and prevents severe poisoning.
- Strength: Strong (very low-certainty evidence).

## Whole bowel irrigation for solid lead objects in the intestines

- **Recommendation**: WBI should be considered for solid lead objects that have passed through the stomach.
  - If WBI is ineffective and toxicity persists, endoscopy or surgery should be considered.
- **Rationale**: WBI can prevent further absorption of lead but has variable effectiveness. In some cases, spontaneous elimination may occur without decontamination.
- Strength: Conditional (very low-certainty evidence).

## Surgical removal of lead objects in the appendix

- **Recommendation**: Surgical removal of lead objects in the appendix is warranted if the patient shows clinical signs of appendicitis or increasing blood lead concentrations.
  - Asymptomatic patients may not require immediate surgery but should undergo periodic blood lead monitoring.
- **Rationale**: Lead in the appendix does not always cause toxicity but may lead to appendicitis or increased lead absorption.
- Strength: Conditional (very low-certainty evidence).

## WBI for dispersed lead-containing materials

- **Recommendation**: WBI is recommended for dispersed materials, such as paint chips, ceramic glaze, or lead-containing alternative medicines visible on imaging.
- **Rationale**: Dispersed materials increase the risk of lead absorption, particularly in the duodenum. WBI is preferred as it clears the entire GI tract.
- Strength: Conditional (very low-certainty evidence).

# **Chelation therapy**

Chelation therapy uses pharmaceuticals to bind lead and facilitate its excretion, reducing the body's lead burden. It is indicated when BLLs are significantly elevated or when clinical signs of lead toxicity are present.

## Children (≤ 10 years of age)

## BLL ≥ 45 µg/dL:

- **Recommendation**: Oral chelation with succimer (DMSA) or parenteral therapy with calcium disodium EDTA (CaNa2-EDTA).
- Rationale: Reduces lead burden in children who are highly vulnerable to neurotoxic effects.
- Strength: Strong (very low-certainty evidence).

### BLL 40-44 µg/dL:

- **Recommendation**: Consider oral chelation if blood lead levels persist despite exposure removal or if clinical symptoms are present.
- **Rationale**: Reflects possible inaccuracies in BLL measurement and variable health impacts at this level.
- Strength: Conditional (very low-certainty evidence).

## BLL ≥ 70 µg/dL:

- **Recommendation**: Close monitoring and regular neurological assessments during chelation therapy.
- Strength: Good practice statement.

### Lead encephalopathy:

- **Recommendation**: Urgent hospitalization and parenteral chelation therapy with CaNa2-EDTA and dimercaprol (BAL).
- Rationale: Lead encephalopathy is life-threatening and requires immediate intervention.
- Strength: Strong (very low-certainty evidence).

## Non-pregnant adolescents (11–18 years) and adults (≥ 19 Years)

### BLL 45-70 µg/dL:

- Asymptomatic women of childbearing age: Oral chelation may be considered to reduce future fetal lead exposure.
- **Other adults**: Chelation is not routinely indicated but BLLs should be re-evaluated after 2–4 weeks.
- Strength: Conditional (very low-certainty evidence).

### BLL > 70–100 µg/dL:

- No neurological features: Chelation therapy suggested.
- Significant neurological features: Urgent parenteral chelation therapy is recommended.
- Strength: Strong (very low-certainty evidence).

## Pregnant women

## Lead encephalopathy (any trimester):

- **Recommendation**: Urgent chelation therapy, with the agent chosen based on pregnancy stage and safety.
- **Rationale**: Encephalopathy poses life-threatening risks to both mother and fetus.
- Strength: Strong (very low-certainty evidence).

## BLL $\geq$ 45 µg/dL without encephalopathy:

- First trimester: No specific recommendation; decisions must be individualized.
- Second and third trimesters: Chelation therapy is recommended.
- Rationale: Reduces maternal lead levels, protecting both mother and fetus.
- Strength: Strong (very low-certainty evidence).

## Notes

## Reference

World Health Organization. (2021). *Guideline for clinical management of exposure to lead*. <u>https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240037045</u>